Verse 42: Krishna's 10 rasas with the Gopis

tam gorajaś-churita-kuntala-baddhabarha-vanya-prasūna-rucirekṣaṇa-cāruhāsam | veṇum kvaṇantam-anugair-anugītakīrtim gopyo didṛkṣitadṛśobhyagaman sametāḥ ||42||

"The Gopis, eyes desirous to see, rushed out and gathered around him – whose locks, with a bundle of peacock feathers and forest flowers, were covered in cowdust – whose eyes are enticing – who laughed beautifully, playing the flute, with his followers [the cowherd boys] singing his praises."

The above verse describes the moment when Krishna returns with his friends from their first cowherding adventure and is greeted by the Gopis, who have naturally missed him dearly all day. In Vallabhācārya's reading of this verse, Krishna bestows the 4 primary pursuits of life and the 10 rasas upon the Gopis who have come out to greet him on the path. The surprising descriptions of laughter and smiles and their function described by the Vallabhi commentators are illustrative of the unique role which the comic rasa and laughter in general play in this devotional system, as well as the larger goals to which their devotion is directed.

As previously discussed, Vallabhācārya's pure non-dualism conceives of *Līlā* as a divine drama unfolding within reality, paradoxically both created and concealed by the Krishna's own power of *maya*. A related paradox is that to engage in the process and ultimate state of this unique type of devotion, in other words to attain *nirodha*, a degree of separation is as essential, or even more so, than interaction. As Smith notes, the "devotional engagement" denoted by *nirodha* is particularly defined by "the intensity of longing for the Lord in his absence." This emphasis on separation, or *viraha*, would become exalted by some sampradayic authors even above union, or *samyoga*. Considering the necessity of union and separation in this devotional rubric, we might consider humor, with its analogous functions of cohesion (as a shared and intimate interaction among equals) and differentiation (as a marker between what

is appropriate, expected, normal, and what is not), as a naturally conducive element to the process and realization of devotion.

Therefore, though this Bhagavata section falls within the middling order of the devotional development in Vallabhācārya's scheme, it is an integral aspect of the Vallabhi Vaisnava theory and practice, for their <code>puṣṭi</code> or grace-filled path itself is considered to specialize on the feelings of separation from Krishna. The sampradayic focus is not on the typically assumed separation that occurs after Krishna leaves Braj for Mathura and Dwarka, but that which is experienced daily when Krishna goes out with his friends and cows. This "līlā" time corresponds to the periods between the Vaisnava's <code>seva</code> or service to his or her personal Krishna. At that time, the forest līlās alluded to above are to be contemplated, and feelings of deep separation cultivated. I would qualify, therefore, that although the erotic mood embodied in Krishna's autumnal Rāsa Līlā dalliance is indeed exalted in this devotional worldview, it is not the case that Vaishnavas simply imagine themselves straight into the moonlit <code>Rasa Līlā</code>. Rather, Krishna's childhood cowherding games constitute a significant element of the devotional contemplation and practice.

Vallabhācārya explains that Śṛngāra rasa in verse 42 comes from the collective description of 'cow, locks, and dust,' because cows create the remembrance of Krishna, hair is a form of desire, and dust (raj) is of the passionate rajas guṇa.² In some cases Vallabhācārya's more condensed commentary simply identifies the word from which he interprets the corresponding rasa, leaving the later commentators to offer more detailed explanations. For example, Purushottamji's commentary confirms that the 'bundle' and 'peacock feathers' generate, via the sentiments of valor and dismay, the amazing and heroic rasas Vallabhācārya had attributed to them. 'Forest' signifies the fearful, perhaps just in its primal associations, as well as, in the words of Gokulnathji, due to the Gopis' "fear that Krishna might return again to

the forest."³ The flowers, interestingly, signal our featured comic *rasa*. Purushottamji seems to recall Bharata's classic definitions of hāsya's stimulants by suggesting the Krishna's decoration with flowers from some far off forest is "sort of bizarre or crooked."⁴

But humor here has yet another layer of puṣṭi meaning, one that further reveals its role in supporting the *rasa* with which ultimately the tradition seems most concerned, namely the erotic *śṛngāra*. In the commentary of Lallu Bhattji, we read that after seeing the amazing and heroic cluster of peacock flowers, the Gopis might have relinquished all bashfulness and shame (*lajjātyāga*) and rushed up to him. But seeing the flowers and the concomitant fear that he might return to the forest, held the Gopis back from rushing forward to grab him. This, Lallu Bhattji indicates, protects the *rasa*, for according to the rules of *rasa*, it arises in secrecy – otherwise, if outwardly manifested, it would not arise.⁵

The compassionate (*karuna*) *rasa* is found in Krishna's enticing eyes. But it is unclear to me what led Ramanan to interpret Vallabhācārya's terse *rucirekṣaṇaṁ karuṇākhyaṁ* as "the beautiful way, with which, our Lord looked, at this universe, (which is His own) gives rise to the *Rasa* of compassion." Ramanan makes no attempt at the complicated commentaries on this point, but Lallu Bhattji's conjecture is that the sorrow (*śoka*) leading to this *rasa* is from the Gopis' lament that Krishna's enticing glance was not experienced by them for such a long time, in other words all day long!

The next description, of Krishna's smile, would be the obvious candidate for the comic rasa which was attributed instead to the flowers. In fact, his smile is taken to signify the furious (raudra) rasa, because, as Gokulnathji comments, "Krishna has abandoned us and gone off to the forest while we are burning [in separation] and now he himself is smiling – hence anger (krodha)." I noticed that Viṣṇu Śāstri, when explaining this verse, couldn't quite suppress his own laughter when describing how the Gopis are accusing Krishna here of "rubbing salt in

their wounds" by smiling in that moment; I am not alone then in perceiving a somewhat comedic effect of Vallabhācārya's reversal of *rasa* expectations. Although Ramanan delves into the other commentaries at times, he translates only Vallabhācārya here: "The beautiful 'smile' gives rise to the sense of 'anger."

Vallabhācārya notes that the "remaining" rasa, i.e. the abhorrent (bībhatsa), arises when a "great one" takes such special dress/form. One of the more interesting elaborations on this is by Lallu Bhattji, who gives the possibility that the Gopis felt disgust (jugupsa, the sthayi bhava of bībhatsa) due to the various loving, pleasure-taking, and deceitful desires he must have entertained while gone. Lallu Bhattji notes however that the various rasas are all śṛṅgārarasapoṣaka – they nourish the erotic rasa.

The overlap of disgust and humor is evident as well in the genre of *khandita* poems that describe Krishna's morning meeting with a Gopi who has waited all night in vain for his arrival. Here the comic arises again physically in the form of bizarre dress. In two poems which Hawley identifies as circulating in the 16th century, we read:

Manmohan, what clues are you trying to erase?
Signs of tight embraces are not so quickly hid.
A necklace, now stringless, is etched into your chest:
what clever girl slept pressed against your heart?
Your garments, hair, and jewels are all askew:
they were tangled in a bout with her lust-hardened breasts
Madhav, what a fine appearance!
Your eyes droop with sleep, your turban is askew,
your hair flies beautifully wild...
Your clothes are all undone, and your feet –
how they stumble and sway in a lionlike gait.¹²

Away! Go back to where you spent the night!

Taken perhaps as Krishna's effort to quell such disturbances, Vallabhācārya follows abhorrence with śānta rasa: "playing the flute' [indicates] the rasa of peace." Lastly, after

Vallabhācārya's comment, "whose 'praise is sung by followers' [indicates] the *rasa* of devotion (*bhakti*)," the commentators highlight the connection between *bhakti* and *dāsya*. Lallu Bhattji notes, "the *bhakti rasa* arises in the form of *dāsya*, etc." The intimacy of the relationship, incidentally, is noted by Gokulnathji: "follower' is an intimate one, this is the meaning."

¹ (Smith, Nirodha and the Nirodhalaksana of Vallabhācārya 1998, 495-6)

² kuntalāśca kāmarūpā rajoguṇa eva gāvotrānubhāvāḥ tena puṣṭaḥ śṛngāraraso nirūpitaḥ (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 56-7)

³ Bhagavān punarvanam gacchediti bhayam (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 58)

⁴ kathancid vikṛtirūpayā bhangyā sthāpitatvācca te janayati (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 57) In an example of how, in my estimation, the existing English translation of these commentaries by Ramanan often misses the mark, he translates this as, "These flowers were worn in such a way that they were, indeed, not looking very nice – Hence this type of wearing the flowers gave rise to 'laughter'" (Vallabhācārya 2003, 1726).

⁵ tadā lajjātyāge rasaprākaṭyād gupto hi raso rasatvamāpadyata iti rasamaryādāyā abhāvāt rasatvameva na syāt (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakarane 1993, 58)

⁶ (Vallabhācārya 2003, 1726)

⁷ sadṛśe īkṣaṇe etāvatkāamasmābhiḥ kuto nāubhūte itiśokasthāyibhāvakaḥ karuṇārasa udbhavati (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 59)

⁸ tyaktvā gata iti vayamevam taptāḥ svayam ca hasatīti krodhaḥ (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, trtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakarane 1993, 58)

⁹ (Vallabhācārya 2003, 1726)

¹⁰ mahatastādṛśo viśiṣṭo veṣo nāṭyāvaṣiṣṭarasam janayati (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 58)

¹¹ ataḥ kutra kutrāsya snehaḥ kutra kutrāsya ramaṇaṁ kiṁ kiṁ na kāmakāpaṭyaṁ karotītibuddhau jugupsāsthāyibhāvako bībhatsarasa udeti (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, trtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakarane 1993, 59)

¹² (Hawley 2007, 235-36)

¹³ veņum kvaņantamiti śāntarasaḥ...anugair-anugītakīrtiryasyeti bhaktirasaḥ (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 58-59)

¹⁴ dāsyādirūpa bhaktirasaḥ prakaṭībhavati (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, trtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakarane 1993, 60)

¹⁵ **anugā** iti antaraṅgā ityarthaḥ (Vallabhācārya, Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe 1993, 55)

Bibliography

- Bryant, Edwin F. "Krishna in the Tenth Book of the Bhagavata Purana." In *Krishna: A Sourcebook*, edited by Edwin F Bryant, 111-136. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Deutsch, Eliot. "Reflections on Some Aspects of the Theory of Rasa." In *Sanskrit Drama in Performance*, edited by Rachel Van M. Baumer and James R. Brandon, 214-225.

 Honolulu: The University Press of Hawaii, 1981.
- Gerow, Edwin. "Rasa as a Category of Literary Criticism." In *Sanskrit Drama in Performance*, edited by Rachel Van M. Baumer and James R. Brandon, 226-258. Honolulu: The University Press of Hawaii, 1981.
- Goswami, Shyam Manohar. "Introduction." In *Subodhini: Shrimadbhagavata Dasamaskandha Subodhinyam, tritiya caturthe prameyasadhanaprakarane*, 1-67. Kohlapur: Shrivallabh Vidyapeeth Shri Vitthaleshaprabhucaranasram Trust, c. 1993, V.S. 2050.
- Haberman, David L. "A Selection from the Bhaktirasamritasindhu of Rupa Gosvamin: The Foundational Emotions (Sthayi-bhavas)." In *Krishna: A Sourcebook*, edited by Edwin F Bryant, 409-440. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Hawley, John Stratton. "Braj: fishing in Sur's Ocean." In *Krishna: A Sourcebook*, edited by Edwin F Bryant. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Kulkarni, V. M. "Abhinavagupta on the Alauikika Nature of Rasa." In *Some Aspects of the Rasa Theory*, edited by V. M Kulkarni, 28-42. Delhi: B.L. Institute of Indology, 1986.
- Monier-Williams, Sir Monier. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Delhi: Oriental Publishers, 1899.
- Pollock, Sheldon. "Introduction." In "Bouquet of Rasa" & "River of Rasa", by Bhanudatta, translated by Sheldon Pollock, xix 1. New York: New York University Press, JJC Foundation, 2009.
- Raghavan, V. The Comic Element in Sanskrit Literature. Madras: Samskrita Ranga, 1989.
- Redington, James D. *Vallabhacarya on the Love Games of Krishna*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1983.
- Siegel, Lee. *Laughing Matters: Comic Tradition in India*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987.
- Smith, Frederick M. "Dark matter in Vārtāland: On the enterprise of history in early Puṣṭimārga discourse." *Journal of Hindu Studies* 2, no. 1 (2009): 27–47.

- Smith, Frederick M. "Nirodha and the Nirodhalakṣaṇa of Vallabhācārya." *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 26, no. 6 (1998): 489-551.
- Smith, Frederick M. "Predestination and Hierarchy: Vallabhācārya's Discourse on the Distinctions Between Blessed, Rule-Bound, Worldly, and Wayward Souls (the Pustipravāhamaryādābheda)." *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 39, no. 2 (2011): 173-227.
- Srinivasulu, Suram. *Haasya and Prahasana: A Critical Study*. Guntur: Navodaya Publishers, 1989.
- Vallabhācārya. *Sri Subodhini: Commentary on Srimad Bhāgavata Purāna by Mahāprabhu Shri Vallabhāchārya: Text and English Translation.* Translated by T. Ramanan. Vol. 4. Delhi: Sri Satguru Publications, A Division of Indian Books Centre, 2003.
- Śrimadbhāgavata Daśamaskandha Subodhinyām, tṛtīya-caturthe prameyasādhanaprakaraṇe. Vol. 6. Kohlapur: Śrivallabh Vidyāpīṭh Śri Viṭṭhaleśaprabhucaraṇāśram Trust, 1993.